PROPOSED SUPPRESSION OF THREE NOMINA OBLITA IN THE FAMILY BELONIDAE (PISCES). Z.N.(S.) 1723

By Bruce B. Collette (Bureau of Commercial Fisheries Ichthyological Laboratory, Washington, D.C., U.S.A.) and

Frederick H. Berry (Bureau of Commercial Fisheries Biological Laboratory, Brunswick, Georgia, U.S.A.)

Revisionary studies on the family Belonidae for the "Fishes of the Western North Atlantic" necessitate the resolution of several conflicts in nomenclature between the recent papers by Berry and Rivas (1962) and Mees (1962, 1964). The purpose of this application is to request the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature to suppress three *nomina oblita* used by Mees (1962, 1964) in contradiction to the provisions of Article 23b of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. More detailed discussion on the above papers is given elsewhere (Collette and Berry, 1965).

I. Strongylura marina (Walbaum)

The name Esox marinus Walbaum, 1792, has been consistently used to refer to this common western Atlantic needlefish, either as Tylosurus marinus or as Strongylura marina (Jordan and Fordice, 1887: 351; Jordan and Evermann, 1896: 714; Fowler, 1919: 5; Hildebrand and Schroeder, 1928: 148; Jordan, Evermann, and Clark, 1930: 196; Breder, 1932: 6; Bigelow and Schroeder, 1953: 167; American Fisheries Society Checklist, 1960: 20; Berry and Rivas, 1962: 155). Mees (1962: 33–36) resurrected the name Esox houttuyni which was described by Walbaum on the same page (p. 88) of the same paper in which he described marinus. Mees admitted that "... marinus has been widely used, whereas I am not aware that anybody has ever used the name houttuyni subsequent to its appearance in literature. This, however, I do not consider a drawback...." We have not been able to find any reference to Walbaum's houttuyni other than the two given by Mees, the original description and a listing by Bloch and Schneider (1801: 391) as "Var. b. Houttuyni" under Esox belone.

Therefore, under the provisions of Article 23b of the International Code, we request the International Commission to:

(1) place the specific name *houttuyni* Walbaum, 1792, as published in the binomen *Esox houttuyni* on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology;

(2) place the specific name *marinus* Walbaum, 1792, as published in the binomen *Esox marinus* on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.

II. Tylosurus crocodilus (Peron and LeSueur)

In the western Atlantic Ocean, one species of needlefish has consistently been known as *Strongylura* or *Tylosurus raphidoma* (Ranzani, 1842) since about 1887 (Jordan and Fordice, 1887: 353; Jordan and Evermann, 1896: 715; Fowler, 1919: 5; Jordan, Evermann, and Clark, 1930: 196; Breder, 1932: 14;

American Fisheries Society Checklist, 1960: 20; Berry and Rivas, 1962: 155). Mees (1962) regarded this species as worldwide and as having been described earlier in other parts of the world. The two most often used senior synonyms of raphidoma, on this systematic judgement, are Belona crocodila Peron and LeSueur, in LeSueur, 1821 (type locality Mauritius) and Belone choram Rüppell. 1837 (type locality Red Sea). Rüppell's name was based on Forskål (1775; 67). After pointing this out, Mees (1962:45) continued: "This does not mean, however, that I agree with Weber & de Beaufort and with all other modern authors, that now Belona crocodila LeSueur (1821) becomes the oldest name available for the species, for Bloch & Schneider (1801) already based a name on Forskål's description, and their name Marisrubri, which apparently has not been used since its introduction, is the oldest name available." The closest approach we have found to the use of the name marisrubri for a needlefish. prior to Mees, was Valenciennes' use (in Cuvier and Valenciennes, 1846 : 328) of "de la phrase de Forskal . . . Esox belone maris rubri" in referring to Forskål's original paper.

Peron and LeSueur's description of *Belona crocodila* fits this species adequately and this specified name has been widely used throughout the Indo-West Pacific: Valenciennes in Cuvier and Valenciennes, 1846: 327; Weber and de Beaufort, 1922: 128; Fowler, 1928: 74; Herre, 1928: 229; Herre, 1953: 448; Munro, 1955: 72; Fowler, 1956: 139; Smith, 1961: 130. Some authors have also used the name for the population in the eastern Atlantic (Fowler, 1936:

445; Poll, 1953: 168).

Therefore, we request that the International Commission take action under the provisions of Article 23b of the International Code to:

(1) place the subspecific name Maris rubri Bloch and Schneider, 1801, as published in the trinomen Esox belone Var. Maris rubri, on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology;

(2) place the specific name *crocodila* Peron and LeSueur, *in* LeSueur, 1821, as published in the binomen *Belona crocodila*, on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.

III. Tylosurus acus (Lacépède)

The third species of needlefish has had a more complicated nomenclatorial history than the preceding two. At least eight names have been used for it in the Atlantic, and three more names were established for its Indo-Pacific representatives. Günther (1866: 241–242) recognized Belona carribaea LeSueur, 1821, and Tylosurus cantrainii Cocco, 1833, but did not mention Sphyraena acus Lacépède, 1803, or Esox imperialis Rafinesque, 1810. In their review of the American species of Belonidae, Jordan and Fordice (1887: 355) recognized acus and tentatively placed imperialis and cantrainii in its synonymy. They also recognized carribaea, but stated that it was very close to and might be the same as acus. Jordan and Evermann (1896: 716–717) and Jordan, Evermann, and Clark (1930: 197) also recognized both acus and carribaea. Following Jordan's concepts, most publications on Atlantic Belonidae refer only to the name acus (Fowler, 1919: 5; Hildebrand and Schroeder, 1928: 149; Breder, 1932: 14; Fowler, 1936: 443; American Fisheries Society Checklist, 1960: 20). Fowler

questioningly (1944: 81) placed acus in the synonymy of carribaea. Berry and Rivas (1962: 155) placed carribaea in the synonymy of acus and did not refer to the eastern Atlantic imperialis and cantrainii.

The original description (p. 325) and figure (pl. 1, fig. 3) of Sphyraena acus Lacépède, 1803, refer to one of the two species of Tylosurus in the Atlantic. The counts of 25 dorsal and 24 anal rays are at the upper limit of the counts we have made (dorsal 23–26, mode 24; anal 20–24; mode 22) for the species presently known as acus, and the anal ray count is two rays higher than the maximum we have found for Tylosurus crocodilus. If the fin ray counts are accepted, the only other species of needlefish that could have been represented is Ablennes hians (Valenciennes, 1846); Lacépède's figure, although crudely drawn, clearly is not hians, because it lacks the falcate pectoral fin, the arched based on the upper jaw, dark body bars, and the compressed body of hians. Based on the fin ray counts and the figure of Lacépède, there is little doubt that Jordan and Fordice (1887) were justified in considering the description of acus to be identifiable with this species.

After rejecting the poorly described acus, Mees (1962: 38-40) chose to recognize the equally poorly described Esox imperialis Rafinesque, 1810. Mees admitted that the number of dorsal and anal rays in the original description was higher than that for any needlefish (except the South American freshwater genus Potamorrhaphis). However, he felt justified because the description appeared to be of a needlefish different from the common Mediterranean Belone belone and so must refer to the only other species of Mediterranean needlefish. This argument is not valid because we have examined a third species of needlefish from the Mediterranean – Tylosurus crocodilus. Furthermore, a large proportion of the museum specimens of Belone belone and Tylosurus acus that we have examined have been misidentified, so we see no reason to assume that Rafinesque necessarily distinguished between them.

If acus were rejected as unidentifiable, then imperialis would similarly be rejected, and the name carribaea LeSueur, 1821, would then be available. This would be another reasonable solution, especially because the number of fin rays in LeSueur's original description (dorsal 24, anal 22) matches the mode for the western Atlantic specimens we have examined. Also, LeSueur contrasted the slender jaws and elongated body of carribaea with the stout jaws and thick body of crocodila, indicating that he had both species. However, in view of the wide usage that acus has had up until the time of Mees (1962, 1964), it seems in the best interests of stability to retain it. The name imperialis has apparently not been used as a senior synonym since its original description, except by Mees (1962, 1964) and by Tortonese (1963).

Therefore, under the provisions of Article 23b of the International Code, we request the International Commission to:

- place the specific name imperialis Rafinesque, 1810, as published in the binomen Esox Imperialis on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology;
- (2) place the specific name *acus* Lacépède, 1803, as published in the binomen *Sphyraena acus* on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.

REFERENCES

American Fisheries Society. 1960. A list of common and scientific names of fishes from the United States and Canada. 2nd. ed. *Amer. Fish. Soc. Spec. Publ.* 2, 102 pp.

BERRY, F. H., and RIVAS, L. R. 1962. Data on six species of needlefishes (Belonidae) from the Western Atlantic. *Copeia*, 1962 (1): 152–160

BIGELOW, H. B., and SCHROEDER, W. C. 1953. Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. U.S. Fish and Wild. Serv. Fish. Bull. No. 74, 53: 1-577

BLOCH, M. E., and Schneider, J. G. 1801. Systema Ichthyologiae. Berolini. 584 pp.

Breder, C. M., Jr. 1932. On the habits and development of certain Atlantic Synentognathi. *Carnegie Inst. Wash. Publ.* No. 435, 35 pp.

Cocco, A. 1833. Lettre al Signor Risso su alcuni pesci novelli. Giorn. Sc. Lett. Sicilia, 42, No. 124

COLLETTE, B. B., and BERRY, F. H. 1965. Recent studies on the needlefishes (Belonidae): An evaluation. *Copiea*, 1965 (3): 386-392

Forskål, P. 1775. Descriptiones animalium. Hauniae, 164 pp.

FOWLER, H. W. 1919. Notes on synentognathous fishes. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci-Philadelphia. 71: 2-15

—— 1928. The fishes of Oceania. Mem. B.P. Bishop Mus. 10, 540 pp.

—— 1936. The marine fishes of West Africa. Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., 70, Part 1: 1-605

—— 1944. Results of the fifth George Vanderbilt expedition (1941). The Fishes. Monogr. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia, No. 6: 57-583

—— 1956. Fishes of the Red Sea and Southern Arabia. Weizmann Science Press, Jerusalem, 1, 240 pp.

GÜNTHER, A. 1866. Catalogue of the fishes in the British Museum, London, 6, 368 pp.

HERRE, A. W. C. T. 1928. The Philippine gars or needlefishes. *Philippine Jour. Sci.*, 36 (2): 215–233

— 1933. Check list of Philippine fishes. U.S. Fish and Wild. Serv. Res. Rept. No. 20, 977 pp.

HILDEBRAND, S. F., and Schroeder, W. C. 1928. Fishes of Chesapeake Bay. Bull. U.S. Bur. Fish., 43, Part 1, 388 pp.

JORDAN, D. S., and EVERMANN, B. W. 1896. The fishes of North and Middle America. Bull. U.S. Nat. Mus. No. 47, Part 1, 1240 pp.

JORDAN, D. S., and FORDICE, M. W., 1887. A review of the American species of Belonidae. *Proc. U.S. Nat. Mus.* 9: 339-361

JORDAN, D. S., EVERMANN, B. W. and CLARK, H. W. 1930. Check list of the fishes and fishlike vertebrates of North and Middle America. Rept. U.S. Comm. Fish. for 1928, App. 10, 670 pp.

LACÉPÈDE, B. G. E. 1803. Histoire naturelle des poissons. Plassan, Paris, 5, 803 pp.

Lesueur, C. A. 1821. Observations on several genera and species of fish, belonging to the natural family of the Esoces. *Jour. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia*, 2: 124-138

Mees, G. F. 1962. A preliminary revision of the Belonidae. Zool. Verhand., No. 54, 96 pp.

—— 1964. Further revisional notes on the Belonidae. Zool. Meded., 39: 311-326 MUNRO, 1. S. R. 1955. The marine and fresh water fishes of Ceylon. Australian Dept. External Affairs, Canberra, 351 pp.

Poll, M. 1953. Poissons. III. Téléostéens malacoptérygiens. Expéd. Oceéan. Belge Eaux Côt. Afr. Atlan. Sud (1948–1949). *Inst. Roy. Sci. Nat. Belge* 4 (2), 258 pp.

RAFINESQUE, C. S. 1810. Caratteri di alcuni nuovi generi e nuove specie di animali e piante della Sicilia. *Palermo*, 105 pp.

- RANZANI, C. 1842. De novis speciebus piscium, dissertatio iv. Nov. Comm. Acad. Sci. Inst. Bonon., 5: 339-365
- RÜPPELL, E. 1837. Neue Wirbethiere zu der Fauna von Abyssinien gehörig. Fische des rothen Meeres. Frankfurt am Main, 148 pp.
 SMITH, J. L. B. 1961. The sea fishes of South Africa. 4th ed. Central News
- Agency, Ltd., Cape Town, 580 pp.
- TORTONESE, E. 1963. Belone imperialis (Raf.) (Pisces) nel Mcditerraneo. Ann. Mus. Civico Storia Naturale "G. Doria" 3 (129): 1-6
- VALENCIENNES, A. 1846. *In* Histoire naturelle des poissons by Cuvier and Valenciennes. Bertrand, Paris, 18, 375 pp.
- WALBAUM, J. J. 1792. Petri Artedi sueci genera piscium, emendata et aucta.
- Walbaum, J. J. 1722. Feffi Afteur succi genera piscium, emendata et aucta. Ichthyologiae, Pars III. Grypeswaldiae, 723 pp.
 Weber, M. and Beaufort, L. F. De 1922. The fishes of the Indo-Australian Archipelago. Brill, Leiden, 4, 410 pp.